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Mr. Regie Ellana

Lyceum of Alabang

Dear Sir:

Good Day Sir!

We the undersigned, are 4th year students of Lyceum of Alabang pursuing a degree in Bachelor of Science in Information Technology and currently we are doing a thesis entitled “The Development of Returning Wrath of Pseudo: Android Based RPG in Learning Basic Programming”.

We are writing to humbly request your service and expertise to serve as an adviser for our thesis. We have followed your lessons in which are of great help to us. We believe that your knowledge and skills will be valuable and will greatly enrich our work.

Thank you for your consideration and hope you will be able to fulfill our request.

Yours Sincerely,

Isaac Harold Geronimo

Group Leader

Members:

Mark Louie Busa

Franz Pete Descaya

Romillito Diana

Conforme:

Mr. Regie Ellana

Adviser

Beloved IT Experts & Fellow Students

Lyceum of Alabang

Dear Sir/Madam:

We a group of students in Lyceum of Alabang taking Bachelor in Science in Information Technology, are conductiong a research for our thesis entitled “The Development of Returning Wrath of Pseudo: Android Based RPG in Learning Basic Programming”. In this regard we would like to ask for your help, by answering our questions.

Rest assure that all your responses will be kept confidential.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Respectfully Yours,

Isaac Harold Geronimo

Group Leader

Members:

Mark Louie Busa

Franz Pete Descaya

Romillito Diana

**THE DEVELOPMENT OF RETURNING WRATH OF PSEUDO: ANDROID BASED RPG IN LEARNING BASIC PROGRAMMING**

**Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

5= EXCELLENT

4=VERY GOOD

3=GOOD

2=FAIR

1=POOR

**Gender:** ( ) Male ( ) Female

**Sector:** ( ) BSCS ( ) BSCPE

( ) BSIT Others:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Software Evaluation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Software Evaluation Characteristics** | **Excellent** | **Very Good** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Poor** |
| ***FUNCTIONAL SUITABILITY*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional Completeness |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional Correctness |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional Appropriateness |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***PERFORMANCE EFFICIENCY*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Behaviour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***COMPATIBILITY*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Co-existence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interoperability |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***USABILITY*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appropriateness Recognizability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Learnability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operability |  |  |  |  |  |
| User error protection |  |  |  |  |  |
| User interface aesthetics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accessibility |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***RELIABILITY*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Availability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fault Tolerance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoverability |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***SECURITY*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confidentiality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Integrity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-repudiation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Authenticity |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***MAINTAINABILITY*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modularity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reusability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modifiability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testability |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***PORTABILITY*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adaptability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replaceability |  |  |  |  |  |

Kindly put a ()check mark at the right of the software evaluation characteristics under the proper heading to indicate your assessment in the software product.

**Evaluation Tool for IT Experts**

**THE DEVELOPMENT OF RETURNING WRATH OF PSEUDO: ANDROID BASED RPG IN LEARNING BASIC PROGRAMMINGName:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**5**= EXCELLENT

**4**=VERY GOOD

**3**=GOOD

**2**=FAIR

**1**=POOR

**Sex:** ( ) Male ( ) Female

**Sector:** ( ) BSCS ( ) BSCPE

( ) BSIT Others:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Software Evaluation**

Kindly put a ()check mark at the right of the software evaluation characteristics under the proper heading to indicate your assessment in the software product.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Software Evaluation Characteristics** | **Excellent** | **Very Good** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Poor** |
| **FUNCTIONAL SUITABILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional Completeness |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional Correctness |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional Appropriateness |  |  |  |  |  |
| **PERFORMANCE EFFICIENCY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Behaviour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |
| **USABILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appropriateness Recognizability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Learnability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operability |  |  |  |  |  |
| User error protection |  |  |  |  |  |
| User interface aesthetics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accessibility |  |  |  |  |  |
| **RELIABILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Availability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fault Tolerance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoverability |  |  |  |  |  |

**Evaluation Tool for Users**

**Table No. : Tally for Evaluation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERIA** | **USERS** | | | | | **IT EXPERTS** | | | | |
|  | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| **FUNCTIONAL SUITABILITY** |  | | | | |  | | | | |
| Functional Completeness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional Correctness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional Appropriateness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **PERFORMANCE EFFICIENCY** |  | | | | |  | | | | |
| Time Behaviour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **COMPATIBILITY** |  | | | | |  | | | | |
| Co-existence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interoperability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **USABILITY** |  | | | | |  | | | | |
| Appropriateness Recognizability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Learnability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| User error protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| User interface aesthetics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accessibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **RELIABILITY** |  | | | | |  | | | | |
| Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Availability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fault Tolerance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoverability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **SECURITY** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confidentiality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Integrity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-repudiation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Authenticity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **MAINTAINABILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modularity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reusability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modifiability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **PORTABILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adaptability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replaceability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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